



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov
	1 0

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO			
09/032,863	03/02/1998	GORDON F. GRIGOR	. GRIGOR 0100.01117		GORDON F. GRIGOR 0100.01117	1397	
23418	7590 12/27/2004	EXAMINER					
VEDDER P	RICE KAUFMAN & K	NGUYEN, KEVIN M					
222 N. LASA CHICAGO, 1	LLE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
ementoo, i	2 00001		2674				

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner						911			
Examiner Revin M. Nguyen 2674			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Revin M. Nguyen 2674	Office Action Summary		09/032,86	3	GRIGOR ET AL.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly lifed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period from reply appellable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly lifed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply appellable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly lifed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply appellable under the provision of the			Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ■ Stortmicins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled storts from the mailing date of this common distance. The provision of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled to the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filled to the provision of the provis					1				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the periods for reply specified above less test that fifty (SD) days, a reply visition the statutory initions and the statutory inition and s			cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 July 2004. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 24.29-33.38-47 and 49-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN IT IS COMMUNION IN IT IN IT IS COMMUNION IN IT IN IT IS COMMUNION IN IT I	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. of ays, a reply within the state tutory period will apply and will by statute, cause the apply and will by statute.	ent, however, may a reply be to utory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ication to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication (25 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 24.29-33.38-47 and 49-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 24.29-33.38-47 and 49-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>06 July 2004</i> .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 24.29-33.38-47 and 49-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 24.29-33 and 38-47 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 49-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is abjected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			and the second s	on-final.					
4) Claim(s) 24.29-33.38-47 and 49-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 24.29-33 and 38-47 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 49-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is shipseted to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 24.29-33 and 38-47 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 49-53 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers accepted 9) □ The specification is abjected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims							
9) The specification is abjected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) <u>24,29-33 and 38-47</u> is/are a Claim(s) <u>49-53</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	e withdrawn from co illowed.	nsideration.					
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 July 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Applicati	on Papers accepted							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			•	• •					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	11)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	• , ,	*				
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	a)[All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	e .			
		•							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO 802)	Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of Informal	Date				

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amended specifications, and the amended drawings filed 07/09/2004 are entered.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7, 8, 12, 13, filed 07/09/2004, with respect to claims 24, 29-32, 33, 38-53 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 24, 29-32, 33, 38-53, and rejection of claims 33 and 38-41 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶6 have been withdrawn.
- 3. Claims 24, 29-32, 33, 38-48 are allowed.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Zenda (US 4,980,678), Zenda (US 5,559,525), and Ranganathan (US

5,764,201), the cited prior arts, alone or in combination, do not teach or fairly suggest

Claims 24 and 33 recited

a video graphics processing circuits associated with a digital storage medium, the video graphics processing circuits comprises

a processing unit, and

memory operately coupled to the processing unit, wherein the memory stores programming instructions that when executed by processing unit, cause a coupling controller of the processing unit to (b) determine whether the display preferences can be fulfilled in observance of at least one of: configuration properties of the multiple displays and configuration properties of a computing system, the coupling controller determining whether a current configuration of the multiple displays to the computing system can be

Art Unit: 2674

reconfigured such that the display preferences can be fulfilled while maintaining effective configuration of the current configuration when the display preferences cannot be fulfilled.

Claim 42 recited

A video graphics processing circuit for displaying at least one image on a plurality of displays, comprising

a coupling controller operably coupled to receive display preferences and to determine

whether the display preferences can be fulfilled in observance of configuration properties, the display preferences including at least one of displaying an image on more than one of the displays, displaying separate images on each of the displays, displaying a portion of the image on one of the displays and displaying the image on another one of the multiple displays, providing different refresh rates for at least two of the displays, providing different resolutions for at least two of the displays, selecting on of the displays to display a predetermined type of image, and displaying a first portion of image on a first one of the displays and displaying a second portion of the image on a second one of the displays;

and wherein the coupling controller provides reconfiguration requirements to the coupling module when the display preferences cannot be fulfilled but a current configuration of the plurality of display controllers to the at least one display can be reconfigured such that the display preferences can be fulfilled while maintaining effective configuration of the current configuration.

Art Unit: 2674

These distinct features have been added to the independent claims 24, 33 and 42 and render the above limitations are allowable.

5. However, upon further consideration, claims 49-53 have been rejected in view of the newly discovered references to Zenda (previously cited, US 5,559,525) and Ranganathan (newly cited, US 5,764,201). Rejections based on the newly cited references follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 49, 50, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zenda (US 5,559,525).

As to claims 49, 50, 53, Zenda '525 teaches a video graphics processing apparatus comprising

screen memory comprising a RAMDAC 93 and a RAMDAC 111 (fig. 3A) (a plurality of screen memory portions);

a first display controller 87, a color LCD controller 95, and a second display controller 109 (fig. 3A) (a plurality of display controllers), the second display control 109 selects the digital color data supplied from the RAMDAC 111 (col. 9, lines 31-33), the second display controller 109 output display data to be displayed on the color CRT display unit 107 (col. 9, lines 13-15).

Art Unit: 2674

a color CRT 89, a color LCD 91, and a color CRT 107 (fig. 3A) comprise inherent a plurality of display drivers;

a feature connector 103, and a Z connector 105 (fig. 3A) (a coupling module), an analog switch 97 (fig. 3A), a selector 113 (fig. 3A) (switching);

a coupling controller is defined by a selector 113 in fig. 7 comprising three selectors;

the configuration properties are defined by different resolution recited in col. 9, lines 11-13, and different type of displays CRT and LCD;

changeover signal 115 inputs to a controller EN (see fig. 7) defined a coupling controller, the controller EN controls three selectors (see fig. 7);

According to the teaching of Zenda recited "the selector 113 is connected to the first display controller 87 by the feature connector 103, and to the color LCD control 95 by the Z connector 105 (fig. 7, col. 9, lines 27-30). A color LCD panel 91 (a first display driver) is a standard component of the portable computer and connected to the color LCD control 95 (col. 8, lines 28-30)" meet the claimed limitation "means for causing the coupling controller to couple a first display driver of the plurality of display drivers to a first and a second screen memory portion"; for example, fig. 7 discloses color display controller 95 connected to LCD 91 (a first display driver) coupling to a digital signal from RAMDAC 111 (a first screen memory portion) and an analog signal from RAMDAC 111 (a second screen memory portion).

As to claim 50, Zenda teaches the configuration properties are defined by different resolution recited in col. 9, lines 11-13, and different type of displays CRT and

Art Unit: 2674

LCD in Fig. 7. Fig. 7 further selector 113 (coupling controller) discloses a LCD display controller 95 and a color CRT 107 coupling to a digital signal from RAMDAC 111 (a first screen memory portion).

As to claim 53, Zenda teaches the selector 113 (the coupling controller, fig. 7) coupling from first display controller 87 (fig. 7) to a LCD display 91 via LCD controller 95 (fig. 7) and CRT 107 (fig. 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zenda in view of Ranganathan (US 5,764,201).

As to claim 51, Zenda '525 teaches all of the claimed limitation of claim 49, except for a user interface coupled to the coupling controller, the user interface entering display preferences for the plurality of displays.

Ranganathan teaches a laptop PC 20 in fig. 9 allows different refresh rates to be used for high-resolution CRT 24' and the lower-resolution LCD panel 22 (col. 11, lines 16-20). Accordingly, the laptop PC 20 comprising inherent a keyboard (a user interface) entering display preferences (different refresh rates, high-resolution, lower-resolution, simultaneously images, different images see figs. 10A, 10B, and 10C, col. 10, lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2674

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Zenda's laptop (fig. 23A) including a function of the laptop PC 20 in fig. 9 allows different refresh rates to be used for high-resolution CRT 24' and the lower-resolution LCD panel 22, in view of the teaching in Ranganathan's reference because this would reduce flicker and meets ergonomic standard (col. 2, lines 67), while fabricating the dual graphics controller can be placed in a standard laptop PC with minimal added cost as taught by Ranganathan (col. 11, lines 57-59).

As to claim 52, Ranganathan teaches a laptop PC 20 comprising a memory 56 (fig. 6, the screen memory) allows different refresh rates to be used for high-resolution CRT 24' and the lower-resolution LCD panel 22 (col. 11, lines 16-20, the configuration properties).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 49-53 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kevin M. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-6209**. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THU from 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reached on **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2674

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2674

KN December 20, 2004

> XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER